

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 USUN N 01332 030001Z
ACTION IO-13

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-01 INR-07
L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05 PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15
USIA-06 AF-10 ARA-06 EA-07 EUR-12 NEA-10 ACDA-07
OMB-01 TRSE-00 /112 W
-----030023Z 100699 /63
P 022304Z MAY 77
FM USMISSION USUN NEW YORK
TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 3405

C O N F I D E N T I A L USUN 1332

E.O. 11652: GDS
TAGS: PFOR, MARR, UR
SUBJECT: PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS WORKING GROUP, MAY 2

1. PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS WORKING GROUP MET MAY 2
AND DISCUSSED ARTICLES 5, 6, 7 AND 8. AGREEMENT WAS
NOT REPEAT NOT REACHED ON ANY OF THE ARTICLES BUT AN
EFFORT WAS MADE WITH CERTAIN COOPERATION BY USSR DELEGATION
TO CONSOLIDATE THE VARIOUS OPTIONS IN EACH ARTICLE. AS
A RESULT, SOMEWHAT AWKWARD AND HEAVILY BRACKETED WORKING DRAFT
TEXTS WERE PRODUCED. THE USSR DELEGATION APPEARS, HOWEVER,
TO HAVE SOME FLEXIBILITY IN APPROACHING THE ARTICLES. FROM
OUR POINT OF VIEW THE NIGERIAN, PAKISTAN, ARGENTINIAN,
CANADIAN, JAPANESE AND, OF COURSE, UK DELEGATIONS WERE HELPFUL.
THE DELEGATIONS OF CZECHOSLOVAKIA, INDIA AND BRAZIL, SEEMED
TO FOLLOW THE SOVIET PROPOSALS. THE LATTER DISAPPOINTEDLY SO
INASMUCH AS BRAZIL ALSO SERVES AS ACTING CHAIRMAN, AND AT
LEAST ON ONE OCCASION SEEMED TO BE FOLLOWING AN UNHELPFUL
SUGGESTION OF THE WG'S SECRETARY WHO IS A SOVIET NATIONAL.

WORKING DRAFTS ARE AS FOLLOWS:

ARTICLE 5 - AS IS WITH BRACKETED LANGUAGE UNCHANGED.
SOV DEL SUGGESTED MAINTAINING BRACKET. BRAZIL SAID
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 USUN N 01332 030001Z

SINCE SOV DEL IS NOT PREPARED TO DISCUSS BRACKETED PHRASE
THEN WG SHOULD PROCEED TO ARTICLE 6. ACTING CHAIRMAN
(JAPANESE AT MORNING SESSION) THEN PROPOSED THAT ARTICLE 6
BE DISCUSSED AND WG COULD RETURN LATER TO ARTICLE 5.

ARTICLE 6 - "UNDER THE AUTHORITY OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL,
THE SYG BY VIRTUE OF THE POWERS VESTED IN HIM UNDER THE

CHARTER, BRACKET SHALL HAVE IMMEDIATE DIRECTION AND CONTROL OF PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS AND SHALL BRACKET CONTRIBUTE WITH ALL MEANS AT HIS DISPOSAL TO GIVING EFFECT TO RELEVANT RESOLUTIONS OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL BRACKET IN THE CONDUCT OF PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS BRACKET, BRACKET IN THE DIRECTION AND CONTROL OF PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS BRACKET". FIRST BRACKETED PHRASE AS PROPOSED BY US ACQUIRED BRACKETS BY MANIPULATION OF CHAIR WHO PROPOSED PRECEDING PHRASE AS SUBSTITUTE FOR USSR'S PHRASE ON "CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER." SINCE USSR DEL SEEMED MORE PERTURBED THAN US DEL OVER THIS PROPOSAL, AND GIVEN EARLIER SUPPORT FROM CANADA, UK, AND PAKISTAN FOR US PROPOSAL, US DEL DID NOT RETURN TO ISSUE OF REMOVING BRACKETS AT THIS TIME. INSTEAD INDIA AND BRAZIL THEN SUGGESTED LAST TWO BRACKETED PHRASES AND A THOROUGH MESS DEVELOPED.

ARTICLE 7 - "THE SECURITY COUNCIL BRACKET SHALL OR SHOULD RECEIVE AND MAY REQUIRE OR MAY REQUEST BRACKET REPORTS FROM AND ISSUE INSTRUCTIONS TO THE SECRETARY GENERAL AND RECEIVE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE SECRETARY GENERAL BRACKET IF HE IS DELEGATED WITH THE CORRESPONDING AUTHORITY BRACKET AND ANY SUBSIDIARY BODY WHICH MAY BE ESTABLISHED." SOV DEL AGREED TO INCLUDE PHRASE "RECEIVE RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE SECRETARY GENERAL" BUT WANTED TO RETAIN "IF HE IS DELEGATED WITH THE CORRESPONDING AUTHORITY" DESPITE OBJECTIONS FROM SEVERAL DELEGATIONS, INCLUDING ARGENTINA AND PAKISTAN. SOV DEL SAID HE NEEDED TO CONSIDER FURTHER THIS ARTICLE AND ASKED IF WG WOULD AGREE TO PROCEED TO ARTICLE 8.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 USUN N 01332 030001Z

ARTICLE 8 - "THE COMMAND IN THE FIELD WILL BE EXERCISED BY A FORCE COMMANDER BRACKET APPOINTED BY THE SECRETARY GENERAL WITH THE CONSENT OF THE SECURITY COUNCIL BRACKET. THE COMMANDER WILL BE GIVEN NECESSARY AUTHORITY OVER ALL ELEMENTS OF THE OPERATION WITHIN THE TERMS OF THE MANDATE AND SPECIFIC DIRECTIVES. BRACKET ON QUESTIONS OF MAJOR IMPORTANCE HE WILL BE GUIDED BY A SUBSIDIARY BODY OF THE COUNCIL BRACKET. CANADIAN DEL PROPOSED LAST SENTENCE OF OPTION 2 "THE COMMANDER WILL BE RESPONSIBLE TO THE SECRETARY GENERAL" ALSO BE INCLUDED. SOV DEL SAID THERE WERE MANY QUESTIONS THAT REQUIRED FURTHER REVIEW AND ASKED FOR ADJOURNMENT UNTIL MAY 3. WG AGREED TO MEET IN AFTERNOON.

3. USUN WILL DISCUSS STATUS OF WG DELIBERATIONS AND NEXT MOVES IN DETAIL WITH IO/UNP MAY 3 IN THE MORNING.
LEONARD

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: AGREEMENTS, PEACE OBSERVATION MISSIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Sent Date: 02-May-1977 12:00:00 am
Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 22 May 2009
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977USUNN01332
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Expiration:
Film Number: D770153-0835
Format: TEL
From: USUN NEW YORK
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t197705102/aaaadkkr.tel
Line Count: 114
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 20d95087-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ACTION IO
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 29-Mar-2005 12:00:00 am
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 2324961
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: PEACEKEEPING OPERATIONS WORKING GROUP, MAY 2
TAGS: PFOR, MARR, UR
To: STATE
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/20d95087-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
22 May 2009
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009